



US009188989B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Mudd et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,188,989 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Nov. 17, 2015**

(54) **FLOW NODE TO DELIVER PROCESS GAS USING A REMOTE PRESSURE MEASUREMENT DEVICE**

(76) Inventors: **Daniel T. Mudd**, Reno, NV (US); **Patti J. Mudd**, Reno, NV (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 348 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/590,152**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 20, 2012**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/575,359, filed on Aug. 20, 2011.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
F16K 31/02 (2006.01)
G05D 7/06 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G05D 7/0635** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G05D 7/0635
USPC 137/487, 487.5, 488, 599.01, 599.06, 137/599.07, 601.14, 870, 883
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,762,086 A	6/1998	Ollivier	
6,152,162 A *	11/2000	Balazy et al.	137/110
6,539,968 B1 *	4/2003	White et al.	137/10
6,832,628 B2 *	12/2004	Thordarson et al.	137/613
7,252,032 B2	8/2007	Scheffel et al.	
7,334,602 B2 *	2/2008	Ahn	137/487.5
7,552,015 B2 *	6/2009	Shajii et al.	702/45
7,662,233 B2	2/2010	Sneh	
2007/0089789 A1 *	4/2007	Mudd et al.	137/487.5
2010/0138051 A1	6/2010	Glime	

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — John K Fristoe, Jr.

Assistant Examiner — Reinaldo Sanchez-Medina


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Law Office of Dorian Cartwright

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flow node includes characterized restrictor in series and adjacent with the valve seat to provide a primary flow restriction with a minimized volume between the two. A conductance of the characterized restrictor is low enough relative to the valve seat to cause a pressure drop that is sufficiently large relative to the pressure drop across the valve seat that a pressure measurement device is located upstream of the valve seat and poppet assembly is used to determine the pressure to the inlet of the restrictor. A vent can be included to reduce bleed time. Multiple flow nodes in parallel increase a dynamic range.

13 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets

200A






FIG. 1A (Prior Art)




FIG. 1B (Prior Art)

200A




FIG. 2A

200B




FIG. 2B




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 4A

400B




FIG. 4B

400C




FIG. 4C




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B





FIG. 5C

600**FIG. 6**

FLOW NODE TO DELIVER PROCESS GAS USING A REMOTE PRESSURE MEASUREMENT DEVICE

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) to U.S. Application No. 61/575,359, filed Aug. 20, 2011, entitled NEXT GENERATION GAS PANEL, FLOW NODES AND SELF RELIEVING REGULATOR, by Daniel T. Mudd et al., the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates generally to gas delivery systems, and more specifically, to delivering a process gas using a remote pressure transducer.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Applications such as semiconductor fabrication processing increasingly require more accurate measurements, lower equipment costs, and quicker and more consistency in timing in the delivery of gases from components such as a mass flow controller (MFC).

An MFC is a device used to measure and control the flow of fluids and gasses. Conventionally, in pressure based MFC, a pressure transducer is needed within an MFC to measure the process gas pressure in a known volume and temperature.

FIG. 1A is a schematic diagram illustrating a prior art pressure based MFC 100 with a volume (V1) in conduit 198A that is used to measure pressure, according to a prior art embodiment. MFC 100 has an inlet port 101, an outlet port 102, a proportional inlet valve 103, a first pressure transducer 104, a restrictor 105, a second pressure transducer 106A and a temperature sensor 107. The first pressure transducer 101 measures pressure over V1 as an input for pressure regulation and is operates so that pressure at the first pressure transducer 104 closely matches the pressure at an inlet of the restrictor 105. The second pressure transducer 106 is located downstream and a temperature sensor 107A is used to increase accuracy.

FIG. 1B is a block diagram of FIG. 1A illustrating a flow order for a process gas through the prior art pressure based MFC 100B, according to an embodiment of a prior art embodiment. As shown, a process gas moves through a proportional valve 103B to a conduit containing V1 199B where the process gas is measured by a first pressure transducer 104B. Next the gas passes through restrictor 105B into a conduit with volume V2, 199B, where the pressure representative of the pressure at the outlet of the restrictor is measured. Finally, the process gas often exhausts from the MFC 100B to a process through an isolation valve actuator and seat 110.

Problematically, the space consumed by V1 hinders further efficiencies in accuracy, bleed down performance, space consumption and costs of gas delivery systems used for processing. Furthermore, when an external control directs the MFC to stop or reduce the magnitude of the gas flow to a lower rate of flow, V1 produces undesirable slow bleed down times to the new flow value.

What is needed is a flow node to provide an accurate delivery of process gas without the inefficiencies of a conventional MFC having a local pressure measurement directly on V1, by utilizing a remote pressure measurement of V1 pressure to reduce the bleed down volume while still providing

pressure measurements that represent the pressure of the gas inlet to the restrictor with sufficient accuracy to maintain the specified accuracy of the flow device.

SUMMARY

The present invention addresses these shortcomings by providing a device, a method to deliver process gas using a remote pressure measurement device.

In one embodiment, a flow node operates in conjunction with an upstream, remotely-located pressure regulation that is remote from the flow node. The flow node includes a valve seat and poppet assembly controlled by an actuator to receive a process gas into a conduit. A characterized restrictor is placed in series and adjacent with the valve seat to provide a primary flow restriction while having a minimized volume between the valve seat and the characterized restrictor. In preferred embodiments, a conductance of the characterized restrictor is low enough relative to the valve seat that the valve seat creates a negligible pressure drop compared to the pressure drop created by the characterized restrictor. Once the process gas has passed through the characterized restrictor, an outlet exhausts the process gas from the conduit. By knowing the characterization of the restrictor in the flow node, and accordingly controlling the pressure deliver to the flow node, the desired mass flow is delivered.

In one embodiment, a pressure measurement device, such as a pressure transducer, is located within an upstream pressure regulator such as an electronic regulator. In another embodiment, a vent is provided to bleed down the gas delivered to the flow node in the electronic regulator. As a result of venting, the process gas pressure delivered to the flow node can be quickly reduced.

In some embodiments, a plurality of parallel flow nodes provides a wider dynamic range of flow rates in less space than a standard mass flow controller (MFC) and with less cost than providing multiple MFC to cover an equivalent operating range. For example, one flow node can be activated for low flow rates, while another flow node can be activated for higher flow rates. Further, the flow nodes can share an upstream pressure measurement and control device and an outlet. Numerous other embodiments are possible, as described in more detail below.

Advantageously, a flow node reduces space and cost, while improving a dynamic range relative to a conventional MFC device.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the following drawings, like reference numbers are used to refer to like elements. Although the following figures depict various examples of the invention, the invention is not limited to the examples depicted in the figures.

FIG. 1A is a schematic diagram illustrating a prior art pressure based mass flow controller (MFC) with a volume (V1) to measure pressure, according to a prior art embodiment.

FIG. 1B is a block diagram of FIG. 1A illustrating a flow order for a process gas through a prior art pressure based MFC, according to an embodiment of a prior art embodiment.

FIG. 2A is a schematic diagram illustrating a gas stick including a flow node with a remote pressure measurement, according to an embodiment.

FIG. 2B is a block diagram of FIG. 2A illustrating a flow order for a process gas through a gas stick including a flow node making use of a remote pressure measurement, according to an embodiment.

FIGS. 3A-B are schematic diagrams illustrating alternative configurations of a valve relative to a characterized restrictor, according to some embodiments.

FIGS. 4A-C are schematic diagrams illustrating alternative configurations of multiple flow nodes, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 5A is a block diagram illustrating a flow node supplied by a self-venting electronic regulator, according to some embodiments.

FIGS. 5B-C are schematic diagrams illustrating an electronic regulator of FIG. 5A used in conjunction with self-venting mechanisms, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 6 is a flow chart illustrating a method for delivering a process gas making use of a remote pressure measurement device and flow node, according to an embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

A device and method for a flow node to control gas flow utilizing a remote pressure measurement device are disclosed. In general, the flow node disclosed herein eliminates the local pressure measurement directly on V1 needed by MFCs (mass flow controllers) because a resulting pressure drop across conduits and poppet and valve seat of the flow node is designed to be insignificant relative to the remote measurement. The disclosed techniques can be implemented in a semiconductor fabrication process, or any other environment requiring flow rates of gas or fluid (e.g., low flow, high flow, 0.1 sccm, or 30,000 sccm) within tight tolerance limits or where reduced equipment cost is desired.

FIG. 2A is a block diagram illustrating a gas stick 200A that includes a flow node 201A making use of a remote pressure measurement, according to an embodiment. The gas stick 200A also includes an electronic regulator 202A and an inlet 203A and outlet 204A to a conduit.

The inlet 203A of a VCR fitting (e.g., as produced by Parker Corporation) receives a process gas into a conduit. Nitrogen is an exemplary process gas, but any suitable gas or fluid could be substituted. The conduit(s) can be any suitable tubing or plumbing, either rigid or flexible, to move the process gas through and to the electronic regulator 202A and the flow node 201A. The conduit can have an outside diameter of, for example, 1/4 inch and inside diameter of 3/16 inch. KS1 substrate blocks 203, as manufactured by Hytron Corporation, serve as an interconnecting platform for the electronic regulator 202A, the flow node 201A and the inlet conduit 203A and outlet 204A conduit.

The outlet 204A of a VCR fitting delivers the process gas to a next conduit for eventual use by the process. In some embodiments, additional processing is performed on the process gas, such as mixing with other gases, or the like.

The flow node 201A includes a valve seat and poppet assembly 205A, an actuator 222 (represented by the arrow up/down arrow), internal conduits 207 (can represent one or more portions of conduit), interface sealing surfaces 208 and a characterized restrictor 209A. The flow node 201A is connected in series with an upstream electronic regulator 202A having a pressure transducer 206A. Generally, the flow node 201A limits a mass flow of gas or liquids in known manner that is in accordance with a pressure of a gas or liquids as measured upstream. Optionally a pressure measurement and/or temperature assumed, measured or communicated by other instrumentation elsewhere in the system can be used to improve the accuracy of the flow if available.

The valve seat and poppet assembly 205A includes an opening for gas flow and a movable poppet to preclude gas flow. In operation, the poppet moves between on and off by

opening to allow process gas to flow into the conduit and closing to stop the process gas. In one embodiment, the valve seat has a high conductance relative to the characterized restrictor 209A (or alternatively, has low impedance relative to the characterized restrictor), for example, a ratio of 10:1, 200:1 (preferred) or higher. The conductance of an on/off valve such as used in the flow node, can be the maximum practical amount for a design envelope. With the conventional MFC using a proportional valve as opposed to an on/off isolation valve, conductance has to be balanced with (and thus, is limited by) flow resolution needs.

The characterized restrictor 209A is located, in one embodiment, directly adjacent to and in series with the valve seat and poppet assembly 205A. The characterized flow restrictor 209A can be a laminar flow element (compressible or in-compressible flow), an orifice (sonic, sub sonic or molecular), a venturi nozzle (sonic, sub sonic or molecular), or the like. As discussed, the characterized restrictor 209A is selected to provide the desired full-scale flow at or slightly below the target full scale pressure to be delivered to the flow node 201A and still have a low conductance relative to a conductance of the valve seat. A resulting pressure drop from the pressure regulator output, through the conduits to the flow node 201A and across the valve seat of the flow node 201A is small enough to be ignored so that a pressure measurement within the flow node 201A is not required to achieve a desired accuracy.

For example, a characterized restrictor designed to flow 5,000 sccm at P1=2000 Torr is placed in the throat of an air valve with a flow impedance and associated plumbing that generates, for instance, a 0.15 Torr pressure drop at when delivering the 5000 sccm flow the restrictor at 2000 torr. The induced flow error would be roughly 0.15% of reading if the characterized restrictor is a compressible laminar flow element. The 0.15% is well within the 1% reading of the device and is acceptable allowing the device to maintain its specified accuracy.

An electronic regulator 202A with the pressure 206A transducer and a proportional valve 211A measures and correspondingly controls a pressure of the process gas within the conduit. A proportional valve 211A of the electronic regulator 202A modulates to control a pressure of the process gas inlet in accordance with pressure set points. The pressure points can be received automatically from a controller or manually input. In some embodiments, the pressure set points are externally calculated to cause a desired mass flow rate. In some embodiments, the electronic regulator can maintain accuracy from an upstream location for flows up to 8 SLM (standard liter per minute) on N2 (nitrogen) or 4 SLM on SF6 (sulfur hexafluoride) for flow nodes using a 1/4" air valve commonly used in the industry. In other embodiments, flow rates can be higher if larger standard components or non-standard modified components are used. At a certain point as flow get larger, parasitic losses of pressure across the valve seat make the overall pressure drop larger, relative to the pressure delivered to the restrictor 209A, than manageable to maintain flow measurement accuracy.

FIG. 2B is a block diagram of FIG. 2A illustrating a flow order for a process gas through a gas stick 200B that includes a flow node 201B with a remote pressure measurement, according to an embodiment.

The gas is received through an inlet 203B to a proportional valve 211B that is modulated in coordination with a pressure transducer 206B to control pressure to the 201B flow node. A volume 298 for bleed down between the valve seat and poppet assembly 205B and the characterized restrictor 209B is minimized for faster bleed down (e.g., 50x faster). By minimizing

the distance and geometry, the volume **298** of gas between the components is minimized relative to a conventional MFC. An exemplary volume of the resulting bleed off volume can be a negligible at 0.02 cc, 0.01 cc or less. As shown in FIG. 1B, an exemplary bleed off volume of an MFC can be 0.50 cc. Optionally, a temperature sensor **252** provides an internal temperature measurement, although temperature can also be received from external components such as a gas box temperature controller or sensor.

Additionally, the MFC has typical measurements of 1.1" (W)×4.1" (L)×5" (H), compared to a flow node constructed from an air valve having measurements of 1.1" (W)×1.1" (L)×4" (H) for similar operational parameters. Further, the pressure based MFC can cost \$2,500, while an air valve can cost \$90 in volume and a characterized restrictor to press in the air valve and make a flow node from the air valve, can cost an additional \$20.

FIGS. 3A-B are schematic diagrams illustrating alternative configurations of a valve relative to a characterized restrictor, according to some embodiments.

In more detail, the valve seat and poppet assembly **301A** of a first configuration in FIG. 3A are located upstream of the characterized restrictor **303A**. In some cases, the characterized restrictor **303** CAN be exposed to the multiple gases from other flow node and MFC exhausting to a common conduit. In a no flow condition, the isolation on the flow node is closed, small amounts of these other gases can backflow into the restrictor **303A** which can lead to reliability issues such as corrosion or particle generation in the case where the gases incompatible or in reacting families. In an alternative configuration of FIG. 3B, a characterized restrictor **303B** is located upstream of a valve seat and poppet assembly **301B**. By locating the valve seat and poppet assembly **303B** downstream, the backflow is remediated. On the other hand, the buildup of gas pressure between the restrictor **303B** and the downstream valve seat can cause a microburst which may be objectionable in some cases. So long as the ratio of conductance remains, the flow node operates within tolerable error limits.

FIGS. 4A-C are schematic diagrams illustrating alternative configurations of multiple flow nodes, according to some embodiments.

Specifically, FIG. 4A shows a gas stick **400A** two flow nodes **401A,B** in parallel. An additional KSR1 substrate **402** is needed to support the additional flow nodes.

In operation, the process gas can flow through either flow node or both. When flow node **401A** is open, the process gas flows to a conduit **403** and when flow node **401B** is open, the process gas flows to a conduit **404**. For example, one flow node can be configured to accurately handle low flows while the other flow node accurately handles all non-low flows. The dual flow node thus increases an overall dynamic range that is superior to an MFC. Further efficiency is achieved because a single pressure transducer is shared between the flow nodes.

While the characterized restrictors were located downstream of the valve seat in the FIG. 4A, FIG. 4B shows an example of characterized restrictors located upstream of the valve seat. When a flow node **413A** is open, the process gas inlets through a conduit **411**, and when a flow node **413B** is open, the process gas inlets through a conduit **412**.

A further example of FIG. 4C shows an embodiment of a gas stick **400C** with three flow nodes **421A-C** in a parallel configuration. This configuration provides the equivalent capability as three separate MFCs, but only occupies one third the space while providing a cost savings. The embodiment also shows characterized restrictor located downstream of the valve seat and poppet assemblies, although the opposite

configuration is also possible. When the flow node **421A** is open, the process gas flows through a conduit **422**, when the flow node **421B** is open, the process gas flows through a conduit **423**, and when the flow node **421C** is open, the process gas flows through a conduit **424**.

FIGS. 5A-C are schematic diagrams illustrating a flow node supplied by a self-venting electronic regulator, according to some embodiments.

The proportional dump valve, or optional on/off valve with flow limiting restrictor in series, allows process gas to be vented from the additional conduit routed to a vent. By quickly depressurizing the conduit in a low flow scenario, changes in mass flow rate are realized with reduced bleed times.

As shown in FIG. 5A, an electronic regulator **501A** includes a valve **502** and optional flow limiting restrictor **503** in series with a conduit to a vent. A feedback and control **599** can coordinate components. The configuration can relieve a volume of gas between a proportional valve **504** and a flow node **505** (and coupled to a pressure transducer **506**) allowing it to transition more quickly from a higher pressure set point to a new lower pressure set point than could occur without the venting of gas, thus avoiding intolerable slow bleed down.

In an embodiment of FIG. 5B, a proportional valve **511** provides a controlled release of the process gas to a conduit **512** for venting. In an embodiment of FIG. 5C, an on/off valve **531** with a limiting flow restrictor is used release the process gas to a conduit **532** for venting. The on/off valve **531** and limiting flow restrictor are preferred in some cases due to lower cost and less complexity for control.

FIG. 6 is a flow chart illustrating a method for delivering a process gas with a remote pressure measurement, according to an embodiment. The method can be implemented by any of the flow nodes discussed above.

At step **610**, pressure points associated with mass flow parameters of a process gas are received. For example, an electronic regulator can receive pressure set points from a controller that is aware of characteristics of the flow node and a temperature and pressure, P2 (assumed or measured).

At step **620**, a process gas is received through a high conductance valve and poppet assembly. An actuator changes position to move the poppet, thereby allowing or preventing gas flow.

At step **630**, a primary flow of the process gas is limited by the low conductance characterized restrictor. As the restrictor is characterized so that flow is known as a function of pressure to the restrictor, mass flow through the restrictor is known if one knows the pressure delivered to the flow node. Correspondingly, one can change mass flow to a new desired value by changing the pressure delivered to the flow node. As discussed, a ratio of conductance between the valve seat and the characterized restrictor, along with a minimized volume between the two, produces a very low pressure drop allowing the remote pressure measurement to represent the pressure at the inlet of the restrictor with sufficient accuracy to allow sufficiently accurate flow measurement.

At step **640**, the process gas is delivered to an exhaust. The process gas can move on to be mixed with other gases, heated, cooled, or the like.

This description of the invention has been presented for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form described, and many modifications and variations are possible in light of the teaching above. The embodiments were chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention and its practical applications. This description will enable others skilled in the art to best utilize and practice

7

the invention in various embodiments and with various modifications as are suited to a particular use. The scope of the invention is defined by the following claims.

We claim:

1. A flow node for low flow delivery of process gas, comprising:

an inlet to a conduit;

a valve seat and poppet assembly controlled by an actuator to receive a process gas;

a characterized restrictor, in series and directly adjacent with the valve seat, and to provide a primary flow restriction in accordance with one or more pressure set points while having a minimized volume between the valve seat and the characterized restrictor by being directly adjacent to each other so that bleed down time is minimized for low flow transitions, wherein a conductance of the characterized restrictor is low enough relative to the conductance of the valve seat to cause a pressure drop sufficiently large relative to the pressure drop of the valve seat assembly that the pressure drop of the valve seat poppet assembly can be ignored without introducing significant flow errors relative to the desired accuracy; and

an outlet to exhaust the process gas from the conduit at a desired mass flow.

2. The flow node of claim 1, wherein the conduit inlet is coupled to a pressure measurement device located upstream to measure a pressure of the process gas within the conduit.

3. The flow node of claim 2, wherein the pressure measurement device is part of an electronic regulator located upstream of the flow node.

4. The flow node of claim 1, wherein the electronic regulator receives a pressure set point adjustment based on an external measurement of a second pressure downstream from the flow node and a temperature, to enhance accuracy in determining a pressure set point needed to generate the desired flow.

5. The flow node of claim 1, wherein the pressure drop across the valve seat and poppet assembly is sufficiently small

8

such that remote upstream pressure measurements sufficiently represents the pressure delivered to the restrictor in the flow node.

6. The flow node of claim 1, wherein the pressure drop across the valve seat is less than 5% of the remote upstream pressure measurement.

7. The flow node of claim 1, wherein the pressure drop across the valve set is less than 0.5% of the remote upstream pressure measurement.

8. The flow node of claim 1, further comprising:

a proportional valve vent in an electronic regulator, wherein the conduit inlet is coupled to the electronic regulator with the proportional valve vent to vent the process gas from the conduit through the proportional valve vent and reduce the pressure delivered to the restrictor in the flow node faster than bleed down.

9. The flow node of claim 1, further comprising:

an on/off valve vent in an electronic regulator, wherein the inlet conduit is coupled to the electronic regulator with the on/off valve vent with a flow limiting restrictor to vent the process gas from the conduit through the on/off valve vent and reduce the pressure delivered to the restrictor in the flow node faster than bleed down.

10. The flow node of claim 1, wherein a ratio of conductance between the valve seat and the characterized restrictor is at least 10 to 1.

11. The flow node of claim 1, wherein a ratio of conductance between the valve seat and the characterized restrictor is at least 200 to 1.

12. The flow node of claim 1, further comprising:

a second characterized restrictor connecting the inlet and outlet, to bypass the valve and poppet assembly for defeating the total shut off capability of the valve and poppet assembly.

13. The flow node of claim 1, wherein the characterized restrictor comprises one of: a laminar flow element and a sonic nozzle.

* * * * *